#### Griffith/Narrabundah Community Action Group PO Box 4127 Manuka ACT 2603 www.savethegreen.org.au

Volume 8

ELECTION ISSUE NEWSLETTER 6 October 2001

## **Election is October 20**

<u>Please</u> help save the Griffith Library, Throsby Park, and Our Community Facilities and Land

Our group, now with nearly 1000 members, has asked all 41 candidates in the Molonglo Electorate for their positions on several issues, including the proposed residential and commercial development on public land known as Griffith Section 78. Section 78 includes the former Griffith Primary School (now housing the Griffith Library and some government offices); the O'Connell Education Centre; the adjacent oval area; and Throsby Park (the area behind the Griffith Shops, including a children's playground).

Before you vote, please take a moment to review our summary of the candidates' positions on the next page.

# Background on proposed residential and commercial development of Section 78

#### The Government's Proposal

The ACT Government released a draft plan in April 2001 that proposed selling off large portions of the public land in Section 78 for development of 55 dwellings, some including commercial uses. A *Variation to the Territory Plan* would be required to change such public land to "Residential Land" or "Commercial Land". The draft plan proposed to:

**DEMOLISH the former Griffith Primary School,** (including the Griffith Library) for <u>23 two-storey</u> townhouses and <u>6 houses</u>.

TAKE AWAY more than HALF of Throsby Park for 26two-storey, small "terraced houses".Commercial useswould be permitted in the row closest to the shops.

Retain "Community Facility Land" designation on the O'Connell Education Centre (OEC) site. The educational functions of the OEC will soon be moved elsewhere. But the future of the existing building is uncertain and the government will not say what will happen to the building.

Leave a possible location for a smaller Library on the O'Connell Centre site (where the existing OEC meeting hall is). But the government has not put their verbal commitment in writing despite a number of requests from the community.

Designate the oval as "Urban Open Space" Land.

#### **The Public's Reaction**

The government received 351 written submissions on the draft plan, and on 6 September they released a *Report of the Consultation Process*. The report showed that the vast majority of respondents were opposed to residential and commercial development on Section 78. Our group told the government that we thought there were significant defects in the report. Most importantly, we considered that there was a serious lack of balance in part of the report because it contained only minimal discussion and analysis of the issues raised by the community, yet it gives considerable weight to the defence of the draft plan.

#### The Government is ignoring the public and pushing forward with development plans

ACT Urban Services Minister Brendan Smyth announced on 19 July his intention to push forward with a draft Variation to the Territory Plan for the redevelopment of Griffith Section 78. He said "The next stage will involve a more detailed assessment of needs for community facilities in Griffith and other areas within South Canberra", and "Following this, a design forum involving key urban designers and community members will be held to identify the best design and planning outcome for this site. The results will form the basis of a Preliminary Assessment (PA) and a draft Variation to the Territory Plan."

The announcement shows that the Government's position is ignoring the wishes of the overwhelming majority of the community, and our group's goals.

Before you vote, please take a moment to review our summary of the candidates' positions on the next page.

#### **Boys-only School on Section 78?**

In a meeting with Education Minister Bill Stefaniak on 21 September, he said he could not provide any definite information about a Boys-only school. He said one or both of the former schools or school sites on Section 78 are being considered. The fact that the Government is considering those facilities certainly undermines their previous argument that the school buildings and school sites would never be needed for schools in the future.

### Planning the ACT Together (PACTT) Rally

Saturday, 13 October, 10:30am

Civic Square in front of the ACT Legislative Assembly Speakers will include some of your elected representatives and spokespersons of community groups concerned with planning issues, urban infill, preservation of open spaces etc.

### **Griffith Spring Fair**

Saturday, 20 October, 10am to 3pm At the Griffith Shops

Our group will be participating in the Fair. Please show up and support our local community. Music, food, games, entertainment for kids etc.

#### Visit Our New Website

Our new website should be up and running very soon. www.savethegreen.org.au

#### **Please Join Us**

If you would like to join our group, please contact our Vice President, Trish Bootes, at 6295 9663 or our Treasurer, Frank Mestrov, at 6295 1152.

# How do your Molonglo candidates rate on our community's issues?

<b>Questions put</b>	What is your	If elected, will	If elected, will you	Do you	If elected, will you	If elected, will you
to each	position on	you vote to retain	vote to ensure the	support, and if	vote to ensure the	vote to ensure the
	the ACT	existing	1977, reconfirmed in	elected, will	area of designated	former playing
candidate by	Government's	community	1985, NCDC	you vote for	"urban open space	fields/oval on
the Griffith/	proposals to	facilities/land on	Agreement with the	the retention of	land", including the	Sec 78 Griffith are
Narrabundah	develop	Section 78	Griffith/	a full library	valued stand of	protected from any
Community	commercial medium density	Griffith for	Narrabundah	service on	trees on Section 78	proposal which is
•	housing on	current and future use by existing	community which	Section 78,	Griffith is retained	inconsistent with
Action Group	Section 78	and future	guaranteed to retain 2/3rds of Throsby	Griffith?	and not, as the ACT Government	retaining this land
	Griffith? Do	generations, and	Park as undeveloped	a. I have assist	has stated it is	for active and passive recreational
	you support the	to prevent their	parkland, is	The second second second	considering, made	activities for current
	proposal?	expropriation for	honoured in full by		available for	and future
	reads for conten	commercial	the ACT	Internal succession in	development?	generations?
-Cablerry and	within South	development?	Government?	THORSE IS NO.		0
Responses by C						
A. Australian L	NO	ric Bray, Jane   YES	Errey, Stella Jones YES	, Isabel Walte YES		1
					YES	YES
D. LIDEFAI FAIL	y - Jacqui Bui	rke, Greg Corn	well, Helen Cross,	Gary Humph	ries, Amalia Mat	heson,
Response provided	Position same as	Manuel Xyrak		D 1.		
by Chief Minister's	present ACT	Non-commital, dependent on	Did not answer the question.	Dependent on	Non-commital,	Non-commital,
office for all	Government.	outcome of	me quesuon.	outcome of	dependent on	dependent on
candidates.	Dependent on	ongoing	101.000 1 L	consultation, we support	outcome of ongoing	outcome of ongoing consultation.
the malera of the	outcome of	consultation.	moing be	retention of a	consultation.	consultation.
	ongoing	bibars set to w		library,		
	consultation, we			although not	to incine of other	b laimental d
	support some	Inada 2 ulan	Bave	necessarily in		· ·
	residential	annance Perch	L'Ense	the same		1300103701391
C 11. 15	development.	Duca		building.		
C. Liberal Dem Brett Graham	ocratic Party .	- Brett Grahan	n, John Purnell-W			
ohn Purnell-Webb	YES "Positionis	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
onn Pumen-webb	one of	Will vote for land	Government must	Should remain	Use of "Urban	If oval is necessary
	trepidation".	usage which best supports living	uphold agreements.	if it provides "a necessary	Open Space land" should be looked at	for current needs,
	uopaation .	standards.	Leand Charles	amenity".	on its merits.	then it should be maintained.
Duncan Spender - No	response received.		the state of the state	amonity .	on no monto.	maintainea.
D. Gungahlin E	quality Party	- Jonathon Rev	nolds, Ian Ruecrof	ł		
Both candidates	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
E. Nurses Good	Government ]	Party - Phillin I	lickox, Robyn Sta		120	1110
Both candidates	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
F. Canberra Fi	rst Party - Cla		cy Louise McCullo			nier
All candidates	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
G. Pamela Ayso	on, Tania Gelo	nesi		La successive to		1250
Both candidates	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
H. Australian L	abor Party -	Simon Corbell.	Katy Gallagher, F	red Leftwich.	John O'Keefe T	ed Quinlan
	N	Aarion Reilly, C	hristina Ryan		- state o another, I	~~ Kannenii
All candidates	Opposed to	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
	Liberals'			the second second	were a well entre	i aldbron e arm
	proposal					Consent Contra
I. Hilary Back,						
No response received				and the second second		
			ght, Alan Parker			A Mary Providences
Both candidates	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
K. The Act Gre	ens - Deb Fos	key, Michael No	olan, Kerrie Tucke	r, Victoria Yo	oung	
All candidates	NO	YES	Will vote to keep	YES	YES	YES
			Throsby Park for			
			contemporary value of	an service course		
		WSM NUC	open space rather than	o nor a chien		
			on NCDC agreement.	a de la de la deserve	le man sei a	south not others
I. DI I. N	· • • •					
Ian Black, M Both candidates	<b>larnie Black</b> NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

The order of parties, groups and candidates on this chart is based on information provided by Elections ACT. Responses received as at COB 4/10/01. Candidates' full responses can be provided on request to Trish Bootes, 6295 9663. Authored and authorised by Trish Bootes, 44 Stuart Street, Griffith ACT 2603